

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 MIREK WIERZBOWSKI,
11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 STEVE DEC,
14 Defendant(s).
15

) Case No. 2:13-cv-00076-JAD-NJK
)
) ORDER
) (Docket No. 193)
)
)
)
)
)

16 All discovery motions require a pre-filing conference and certification thereto. Local Rule 26-
17 7(c). The pending motion to reopen discovery indicates that counsel “attempted to confer,” received no
18 response, but had previously been told via email that such a motion would be opposed. Docket No. 193
19 at 1-2. In so doing, counsel has failed to satisfy the underlying meet-and-confer requirements, as well
20 as the requirements to provide a detailed certification of the meet-and-confer process. *See* Local Rule
21 26-7(c); *see also* Local Rule IA 1-3(f). Counsel shall review the applicable rules and continue the meet-
22 and-confer efforts. Defense counsel shall promptly respond to the request to schedule the meet-and-
23 confer.

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 Depending on the results of that process, Plaintiff shall file either a stipulation to reopen
2 discovery or a renewed motion to reopen discovery in compliance with the rules, by May 31, 2017. If
3 such a motion is filed, any response shall be filed within 5 court days of the filing of the motion and any
4 reply shall be filed within 3 court days of the filing of the response.

5 The pending motion to reopen discovery is **DENIED** without prejudice.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: May 25, 2017

8 
9 _____
NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge